Abstract. Recent research in electrical impedance tomography produce images of biological tissue from frequency differential boundary voltages and corresponding currents. Physically one is to recover the electrical conductivity $\sigma$ and permittivity $\epsilon$ from the frequency differential boundary data. Let $\gamma = \sigma + i\omega \epsilon$ denote the complex admittivity, $\Lambda_{\gamma}$ be the corresponding Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, and $\frac{d\Lambda_{\gamma}}{d\omega}\big|_{\omega=0}$ be its frequency differential at $\omega = 0$. If $\sigma \in C^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is constant near the boundary and $\epsilon \in C^{1,1}(\Omega)$, we show that $\frac{d\Lambda_{\gamma}}{d\omega}\big|_{\omega=0}$ uniquely determines $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \epsilon - \epsilon \nabla \ln \sigma) / \sigma$ inside $\Omega$. In addition, if $\Lambda_{\gamma}|_{\omega=0}$ is also known, then $\epsilon$ and $\sigma$ can be reconstructed inside. The method of proof uses the complex geometrical optic solutions.

1. Introduction. Electrical Impedance Tomography (EIT) aims to determine the electrical conductivity $\sigma$ and permittivity distribution $\epsilon$ of a body from surface electrical measurements of voltages and corresponding currents. One major application is in medical imaging, where the change of the electrical properties of biological tissues with their physiological and pathological conditions is used to provide diagnostic information. Driven by its applications, considerable progress in both the engineering and mathematical facets of EIT has been achieved, and its development can be traced over the past two decades in the reviews [6, 3, 8, 2, 19].

We consider a conducting bounded body $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, with $C^{1,1}$-boundary $\partial \Omega$. Its conductivity distribution $\sigma \in C^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is bounded away from zero, and its permittivity distribution $\epsilon \in C^{1,1}(\Omega)$. We assume that $\sigma$ is constant near the boundary and $\epsilon$ is supported in $\Omega$ (these assumption may be relaxed as explained later).

For a real-valued function $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega)$ and an angular frequency $\omega$ the sinusoidal voltage $f(x) \cos(\omega t)$ is imposed at the boundary $\partial \Omega$. Then a time harmonic complex voltage potential $u_\omega$ distributes inside according to the problem:

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma + i\omega \epsilon) \nabla u_\omega = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$

$$u_\omega|_{\partial \Omega} = f. \tag{1.1}$$

The problem (1.1) has a unique complex (voltage potential) solution $u_\omega \in H^1(\Omega)$; see also Theorem 3.1 below. The exiting current

$$g_\omega := (\sigma + i\omega \epsilon) n \cdot \nabla u_\omega \tag{1.2}$$

is measured ($n$ is the unit outward normal) at the boundary, to define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

$$\Lambda_{\sigma+i\omega}: f \mapsto g_\omega \in H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega).$$

Originally formulated by Calderón [5] at the $\omega = 0$ frequency, the goal in EIT is to determine $\sigma$ and $\epsilon$ from knowledge of $\Lambda_{\sigma+i\omega}$. At zero frequency only $\sigma$ is sought; in such a case the corresponding voltage potential $v_0$ is real valued and solves

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla v_0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad v_0|_{\partial \Omega} = f. \tag{1.3}$$

The Calderón problem (at $\omega = 0$) has been mostly settled in the affirmative and we refer to [19] for a state-of-the-art. Of relevance to our work here, we mention the
breakthrough result in [18], where $\Lambda_\sigma := \Lambda_\gamma|_{\omega=0}$ is shown to uniquely determine $\sigma$ in three or higher dimensions, and the reconstruction method in [14] which allows for the $C^{1,1}$-regularity assumed here. We note however that, while not explicitly stated, the results in [18, 14] extend to the complex admittivity $\gamma = \sigma + i\omega\epsilon$ to show that $\Lambda_\gamma$ uniquely determines $\gamma$ in three dimensions or higher. The analogue results in two dimensions at $\omega = 0$ were obtained in [15], with a nontrivial refinement in [1]. Also in two dimensions, but at an arbitrarily fixed frequency $\omega$ (not necessarily zero) $\Lambda_\gamma$ was shown recently to uniquely determine the complex admittivity $\gamma$ in [4] (a previous result in [7] recovered $\gamma$ for a sufficiently small $\omega$).

Recent research in [9, 11, 12, 16, 17] produce physiologically relevant images by using the frequency dependent behavior of the complex potential $u_\omega$. These new methods are known as frequency differential electrical impedance tomography (fdEIT). Physically one imposes boundary voltages at two distinct frequencies and measure a difference between corresponding boundary exit currents. Despite the apparent usefulness in medical diagnostic, the quantities behind the images in fdEIT are not so well understood. In this paper we take a first step towards explaining what can be quantitatively obtained in fdEIT. We formulate the problem in terms of the frequency differential operator at the boundary: What can it be obtained from knowledge of $\frac{d\Lambda_\sigma}{d\omega}|_{\omega=0}$?

To simplify notation, let $D$ be defined for real valued functions $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ by

$$D(f) := \left. \frac{d}{d\omega} \right|_{\omega=0} \Lambda_{\sigma+i\omega\epsilon}(f),$$

(1.4)

and then extended by complex linearity:

$$D(f + ig) := D(f) + iD(g).$$

Our main result, Theorem 2.2, shows that $D : H^{1/2}(\Omega) \to H^{-1/2}(\Omega)$ is a well defined bounded operator which uniquely determines the function $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \epsilon - \epsilon \nabla \ln \sigma)/\sigma$ inside, in three or higher dimensions. The method of proof uses the complex geometrical optic solutions in [18]. We note that only the action of $D$ on real valued functions is needed.

If, in addition, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_\sigma := \Lambda_\gamma|_{\omega=0}$ is known, then once $\sigma$ is recovered, the permittivity $\epsilon$ can be determined inside from its boundary values. Note that with the extra data at the boundary we do not need to assume $\sigma$ constant near the boundary: For $\sigma \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega})$ its values on $\partial\Omega$ as well as its normal derivative $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial n}$ at the boundary can be recovered from $\Lambda_\sigma$ as shown in [14] for this regularity (and earlier in [13], and [18] for $C^{\infty}$-conductivity). Then $\sigma$ can be extended with preserved $C^{1,1}$-regularity to the whole space while making it constant near the boundary $\partial\Omega$. As shown in [15] the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map can be transferred from $\partial\Omega$ to $\partial\Omega$ (the fact that we deal with a complex valued coefficient does not change the proof from the real case). Therefore the assumption on $\sigma$ to be constant near the boundary $\partial\Omega$ does not restrict generality. Similarly, the assumption on $\epsilon$ to be of compact support in $\Omega$ can be replaced by the knowledge of the boundary values $\epsilon|_{\partial\Omega}$ and its normal derivative $\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega}$, see also equation (4.4).

With $v_\omega := \Re(u_\omega)$ and $h_\omega := \Im(u_\omega)$ denoting the real, respectively the imaginary part of the voltage potential obtained for a real valued boundary data $f$, the problem (1.1) rewrites as a Dirichlet problem for the coupled system:

$$\nabla \cdot \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & -\omega \epsilon \\ \omega \epsilon & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla v_\omega \\ \nabla h_\omega \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \left. \begin{pmatrix} v_\omega \\ h_\omega \end{pmatrix} \right|_{\partial\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. $$

(1.5)
Not only do $v_\omega$ and $h_\omega$ have a nonlinear dependence on the conductivity $\sigma$, permittivity $\epsilon$, and angular frequency $\omega$, but also their intrinsic mutual relation makes this dependence difficult to investigate directly from (1.5).

Key to this work, in Theorem 3.1 we identify the regime of frequencies

\begin{equation}
|\omega| \ll \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{\omega} \right),
\end{equation}

in which the family of operators $\omega \mapsto \Lambda_\sigma + i\omega$ is analytic in the strong operator topology (from $H^{1/2}(\Omega)$ to $H^{-1/2}(\Omega)$). This analytic dependence allows for a recurrence type of decoupling. Also the frequency differential boundary operator can be made more explicit:

\begin{equation}
D(f) = i\epsilon \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial n} + i\sigma \frac{d}{d\omega} \left( \sigma_\omega \right) = i\epsilon \frac{\partial h_\omega}{\partial n} = i \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma} \left( \Lambda_\sigma(f) + i\sigma \frac{d}{d\omega} \right) \frac{\partial h_\omega}{\partial n}.
\end{equation}

2. Statement of results. The main result is formulated in terms of the complex geometrical optics solutions of Sylvester-Uhlmann in [18] whose existence is recalled below both for convenience and to set notation. The coefficients $\sigma$, and $\epsilon$ assumed constant near the boundary, are extended by (the corresponding) constant on the complement of $\Omega$.

For $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, the weighted norm $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 (1 + |x|^2) dx$ is used. For $k, \eta, l \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $k \cdot \eta = k \cdot l = k \cdot \eta = 0$, and $|\eta|^2 = \frac{|k|^2}{4} + |l|^2$, consider the vectors

\begin{align}
\xi_1(\eta, k, l) &= \eta - i \left( \frac{k}{2} + l \right), \\
\xi_2(\eta, k, l) &= -\eta - i \left( \frac{k}{2} - l \right).
\end{align}

Note that $\xi_1 \cdot \xi_1 = \xi_2 \cdot \xi_2 = 0$, $|\xi_1|^2 = |\xi_2|^2 = 2 \left( \frac{|k|^2}{4} + |l|^2 \right)$, and $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = -i k$. We restate their result in the variant below.

**Theorem 2.1** (Theorem 2.3, [18]). Let $n \geq 3$, and $\sigma \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega})$ be constant near the boundary. For $-1 < \delta < 0$ there are two constants $R, C > 0$ dependent only on $\delta$, $\|\Delta \sqrt{\sigma}/\sqrt{\sigma}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$, and $\Omega$ such that, for $\xi_j \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $j = 1, 2$ as in (2.1) with $|l| > R$,

there exist $w(\cdot, \xi_j) \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ solutions of $\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla w(\cdot, \xi_j) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, of the form

\begin{equation}
w(x, \xi_j) = e^{x \cdot \xi_j} \sigma^{-1/2}(1 + \psi(x, \xi_j)),
\end{equation}

with

\begin{equation}
\|\psi(\cdot, \xi_j)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{C}{|\xi_j|}.
\end{equation}

The main result which will be proven in Section 4 is the following.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$ be an open domain with $C^{1,1}$-boundary, $\sigma \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega})$ be constant near the boundary, and $\epsilon \in C^{1,1}_0(\Omega)$. Recall the frequency
implies that
\begin{equation}
\tag{3.4}
F \left[ \frac{\nabla \cdot (\nabla \epsilon - \epsilon \nabla \ln \sigma)}{\sigma} \right] (k) = \lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} -2i \int_{\partial \Omega} D(f_1)f_2 \, ds,
\end{equation}
where \( F \) denotes the Fourier transform.

We stress here that only the action of \( D \) on real valued functions is needed in the Theorem 2.2 above.

If the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map \( \Lambda_\sigma \) (at frequency \( \omega = 0 \)) is also known, then \( \sigma \) can be recovered inside as shown in [14]. As a corollary to Theorem 2.2 one is also able to reconstruct \( \epsilon \) inside.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), \( n \geq 3 \) be an open domain with smooth \( C^{1,1} \)-boundary. Assume \( \sigma \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega}) \) constant near the boundary and \( \epsilon \in C_0^0(\Omega) \). Then \( \sigma \) and \( \epsilon \) inside \( \Omega \) can be reconstructed from knowledge of \( \Lambda_\sigma \) and \( D \).

### 3. Analytic dependence in frequency.

In this section we prove the analytic dependence in the frequency of \( \omega \to \Lambda_{\sigma+i\omega} \) in the strong operator topology from \( H^{1/2}(\Omega) \to H^{-1/2}(\Omega) \). The assumptions on the coefficients are slightly relaxed. By \( C^0(\Omega) \) we denote the space of Lipschitz continuous maps.

**Theorem 3.1.** For \( \sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega) \) bounded away from zero, and \( \epsilon \in C^0(\Omega) \). Assume that \( \omega \) lies the frequency range (1.6). Then the Dirichlet problem (1.1) has a unique solution \( u_\omega \in H^1(\Omega) \) with the following series representation
\begin{equation}
\tag{3.1}
v_\omega(x) := \Re(u_\omega(x)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{2k}(x)\omega^{2k} \quad \text{and} \quad h_\omega(x) := \Im(u_\omega(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_{2k-1}(x)\omega^{2k-1},
\end{equation}
where the summation is convergent in the \( H^1(\Omega) \), \( v_0 \) is the solution to (1.3), and for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \) the following recurrence holds:
\begin{equation}
\tag{3.2}
\begin{cases}
\nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla h_{2k-1}) = -\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \nabla v_{2(k-1)}) & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla v_{2k}) = \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \nabla h_{2k-1}) & \text{in } \Omega, \\
h_{2k-1}|_{\partial \Omega} = v_{2k}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

Assuming the recurrences in (3.2) hold we establish first some basic estimates.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( v_{2k} \) and \( h_{2k-1} \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \) be defined in (3.2). Then, for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \), we have
\begin{equation}
\tag{3.3}
\left[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla v_{2k}|^2 \, dx \right]^{1/2} \leq \left\| \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{2k} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla v_0|^2 \, dx \right]^{1/2},
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
\tag{3.4}
\left[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla h_{2k-1}|^2 \, dx \right]^{1/2} \leq \left\| \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{2k-1} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla v_0|^2 \, dx \right]^{1/2}.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Let us fix a positive natural number \( k \). From (3.2) the divergence theorem implies that
\begin{equation}
\tag{3.5}
\int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla h_{2k-1}|^2 \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} \epsilon \nabla v_{2k-2} \cdot \nabla h_{2k-1} \, dx.
\end{equation}
Cauchy’s inequality applied to the right hand side above yields
\[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla h_{2k-1}|^2 \, dx \leq \frac{\| \epsilon \|}{\sigma} \left( \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla v_{2k-2}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla h_{2k-1}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \]
and thus
\[ \left( \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla h_{2k-1}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\| \epsilon \|}{\sigma} \left( \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla v_{2k-2}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]
Similarly we obtain
\[ \left( \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla v_{2k}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\| \epsilon \|}{\sigma} \left( \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla h_{2k-1}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]
By induction, the estimates (3.3) and (3.4) follow.

Proof. [of the Theorem 3.1] The coupled system (1.5) is equivalent to the two elliptic equations:
\[ \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla v_\omega) = \nabla \cdot (\omega \epsilon \nabla h_\omega) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \]
and
\[ \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla h_\omega) = -\nabla \cdot (\omega \epsilon \nabla v_\omega) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \]
We seek solutions in the ansatz
\[ v(x, \omega) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k(x) \omega^k \quad \text{and} \quad h(x, \omega) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(x) \omega^k. \]
Let us assume first that the series representation in (3.9) are convergent in $H^1(\Omega)$. If (3.7) and (3.8) are satisfied, then
\[ 0 = \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla v_0) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla v_{k+1} - \epsilon \nabla h_k) \omega^{k+1}, \]
and
\[ 0 = \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla h_0) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla h_{k+1} + \epsilon \nabla v_k) \omega^{k+1}; \]
where the divergence is taken in the weak sense. In particular we obtain
\[ \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla v_0) = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla h_0) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \]
and, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$,
\[ \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla v_{k+1}) = \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \nabla h_k), \quad \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla h_{k+1}) = -\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \nabla v_k) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \]

By our assumption, both series are convergent in $H^1(\Omega)$, and their sum have well defined traces in $H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega)$, which are the corresponding sum of the traces of the
terms. Now \( v(x, \omega) = f(x) \) and \( h(x, \omega) = 0 \) for \( x \in \partial \Omega \) yield \( v_0|_{\partial \Omega} = f \) and \( h_0|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \), and, for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \),

\[
h_{2k-1}|_{\partial \Omega} = v_{2k}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\]

Note that \( v_0 \) is the solution of (1.3) and \( h_0 \equiv 0 \).

Conversely, for \( f \in H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega) \), let \( v_0 \) be the solution of (1.3) and define two sequences of functions \( \{v_k]\) and \( \{h_k]\) via the recurrence (3.2). From Lemma 3.1 it follows that for any \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \),

\[
\|\nabla v_{2k}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \omega^{2k} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla v_{2k}|^2 \, dx \right]^{1/2} \omega^{2k}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma \|L^\infty(\Omega) \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla v_0|^2 \, dx \right]^{1/2}
\]

\[
\leq \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \|\nabla v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma \|L^\infty(\Omega) \right],
\]

and

\[
\|\nabla h_{2k-1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \omega^{2k-1} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla h_{2k-1}|^2 \, dx \right]^{1/2} \omega^{2k-1}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma \|L^\infty(\Omega) \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla v_0|^2 \, dx \right]^{1/2}
\]

\[
\leq \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \|\nabla v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sigma \|L^\infty(\Omega) \right].
\]

If \( \omega \) satisfies (1.6), the \( H^1(\Omega) \)-convergence of the series well defines \( v(x, \omega) \) and \( h(x, \omega) \) in (3.9) to be the unique solutions of (1.5). \( \Box \)

The theorem above further clarifies the frequency differential operator \( D \) in (1.4).

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( \epsilon \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega}), \) and \( \sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega) \) with \( \text{essinf}_{\Omega} \sigma > 0 \). For \( f, g \in H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega) \) real valued we have

\[
D(f + ig) = i \epsilon \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial n} + i \sigma \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial n},
\]

where \( v_0 \) solves \( \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla v_0) = 0 \) in \( \Omega \), and \( v_0|_{\partial \Omega} = f + ig \), and \( h_1 \) solves

\[
\nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla h_1) = -\nabla \cdot \epsilon \nabla v_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \text{and } h_1|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.
\]

**Proof.** If \( g = 0 \) the corollary follows directly from the definition (1.4) and the fact that \( \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial n}|_{\omega=0} = \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial n}, \) with \( h_1 \) defined in the recurrence (3.2). If \( g \) is arbitrary, the result follows from the complex linearity of the two terms in the right hand side of (3.12). \( \Box \)

From Theorem 3.1 it follows that \( v_0 \) is the zero-th order term of the series expansion of the real part \( v \), and \( h_1 \) is the first order term of the series expansion of the imaginary part \( h \). Moreover, when \( \omega << 1 \) is small, and \( \omega_1, \omega_2 = O(\omega) \), we have

\[
\frac{v_{\omega_1} - v_{\omega_2}}{\omega_1 - \omega_2} = v_2(\omega_1 + \omega_2) + O(\omega^3), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{h_{\omega_1} - h_{\omega_2}}{\omega_1 - \omega_2} = h_1 + O(\omega^2),
\]

(3.13)
where $h_1$ and $v_2$ satisfy the Poisson’s problems

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla h_1) & = -\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \nabla v_0) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla v_2) & = \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \nabla h_1) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
h_1|_{\partial \Omega} = v_2|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

(3.14)

4. Proof of Theorem 2.2 and its corollary. For $j = 1, 2$ let $w_j(x) := w(x; \xi_j)$ be the complex geometrical optic (CGO) solutions corresponding to a fix vector $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as provided by Theorem 2.1. For $w_1$ the CGO above, let $h_1$ the solution of the Poisson equation

\[
\nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla h_1) = -\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \nabla w_1) \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\]

and $h_1|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$.

First we carry out the calculation without the assumption that $\epsilon = 0$ near the boundary to emphasize the fact that knowledge of $\epsilon$ and its normal derivative at the boundary suffices.

Following the explicit formula for $D$ in Corollary 3.2 we obtain:

\[
\int_{\partial \Omega} D(w_1)w_2 ds = i \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( \epsilon \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial n} + \sigma \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial n} \right) w_2 ds
\]

\[
= i \int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla h_1 \cdot \nabla w_2 + \epsilon \nabla w_1 \cdot \nabla w_2 \, dx.
\]

(4.1)

Since $h_1 = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $w_2$ solves the conductivity equation $\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla w_2 = 0$, the first integral on the right hand side of (4.1) is zero so that

\[
\int_{\partial \Omega} D(w_1)w_2 ds = i \int_{\Omega} \epsilon \nabla w_1 \cdot \nabla w_2 \, dx.
\]

(4.2)

Now use $2\nabla w_1 \cdot \nabla w_2 = [\Delta (w_1w_2) - (\Delta w_1w_2 + w_2w_1\Delta w_1)]$, and the fact that the $w_j$’s also solve

\[
\Delta w_j + \nabla \ln \sigma \cdot \nabla w_j = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\]

to obtain

\[
\int_{\Omega} \epsilon \nabla w_1 \cdot \nabla w_2 \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( \epsilon \frac{\partial (w_1w_2)}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial n} (w_1w_2) \right) \, ds
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} [(\Delta \epsilon)(w_1w_2) + \epsilon \nabla \ln \sigma \cdot \nabla (w_1w_2)] \, dx.
\]

Using the Green’s formula in the last integral and the assumption that $\sigma$ is constant near the boundary we obtain

\[
\int_{\Omega} \epsilon \nabla w_1 \cdot \nabla w_2 \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( \epsilon \frac{\partial (w_1w_2)}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial n} (w_1w_2) \right) \, ds
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\nabla \epsilon - \epsilon \nabla \ln \sigma)(w_1w_2) \, dx.
\]

(4.3)
From (4.2) and (4.3) we have that
\[
\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \left( \nabla \epsilon - \epsilon \nabla \ln \sigma \right) w_1 w_2 \, dx = -2i \int_{\partial \Omega} D(w_1) w_2 \, ds
\]
(4.4)
\[
- \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( \epsilon \frac{\partial (w_1 w_2)}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial n} (w_1 w_2) \right) \, ds.
\]

If we now use the assumption of \( \epsilon \) being support in \( \Omega \), the equation (4.4) further simplifies to
\[
\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \left( \nabla \epsilon - \epsilon \nabla \ln \sigma \right) w_1 w_2 \, dx = -2i \int_{\partial \Omega} D(w_1) w_2 \, ds.
\]
(4.5)

By the choice of \( \xi \)'s in the complex geometrical optics \( w_j \)'s, we have
\[
\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \left( \nabla \epsilon - \epsilon \nabla \ln \sigma \right) w_1 w_2 \, dx = -2i \int_{\partial \Omega} D(w_1) w_2 \, ds.
\]

Since the integrant in the left hand side above is supported in \( \Omega \), the integral can be taken over the entire space \( \mathbb{R}^n \). The decay estimates (2.3) then yield
\[
F \left[ \nabla \cdot \left( \nabla \epsilon - \epsilon \nabla \ln \sigma \right) \sigma \right] (k) = \lim_{|l| \to \infty} -2i \int_{\partial \Omega} D(w_1) w_2 \, ds,
\]
where \( F \) is the Fourier transform in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). This completes our proof of Theorem 2.2.

The proof of Corollary 2.1 relies on the results in [14] which show that the traces of the geometrical optic solutions used in Theorem (2.2) can been recovered from a singular integral equation at the boundary: Since \( \sigma \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega}) \) is recovered inside from \( \Lambda_{\sigma} \), we may assume without loss of generality that \( \sigma = 1 \) near the boundary. Then Lemmata 2.7 and 2.12 b) in [14] yield that the traces \( f_j := w(\cdot, \xi_j) \) at the boundary are the unique solutions to the equation
\[
f_j = e^{ix \cdot \xi_j} - \left( S_{\xi_j} \Lambda_{\gamma} - B_{\xi_j} \frac{1}{2} I \right) f_j, \quad j = 1, 2,
\]
(4.6)
where the boundary operators \( S_{\xi} \) and \( B_{\xi} \) are the single and double layer potentials
\[
S_{\xi} f(x) = \int_{\partial \Omega} G_{\xi}(x, y) f(y) \, ds, \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\xi} f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial G_{\xi}(x, y)}{\partial n} f(y) \, ds
\]
associated with the Fadeev-Green kernel
\[
G_{\xi}(x) = \frac{e^{ix \cdot \xi}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{ix \cdot \eta}}{\eta^2 + 2\xi \cdot \eta} \, d\eta.
\]

Once the traces of \( w_1 \), and \( w_2 \) are determined, the right hand side of (2.4) is determined. By Fourier inversion, we then determine the essentially bounded function
\[
Q[\sigma, \epsilon] := \frac{\nabla \cdot (\nabla \epsilon - \epsilon \nabla \ln \sigma)}{\sigma}.
\]
(4.7)

With \( \sigma \) and \( Q \) known, the permittivity \( \epsilon \) is the unique solutions of the Dirichlet problem
\[
\Delta \epsilon - \nabla \cdot \nabla \ln(\sigma) - \epsilon \Delta \ln(\sigma) = Q \sigma \quad \text{in} \ \Omega, \quad \epsilon|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.
\]
(4.8)

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
5. Concluding remarks. We formulated a Calderón type problem using frequency differential $D := \frac{d\Lambda}{d\omega}$ of the Dirichlet to Neumann map at $\omega = 0$. Provided that $\sigma$ is (an unknown) constant near the boundary and $\epsilon$ is supported in $\Omega$, we showed that the frequency differential uniquely determines $Q$ in (4.7) relating the conductivity $\sigma$ with the permittivity $\epsilon$. However, if the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map at $\omega = 0$ is also available, then $\sigma$ and $\epsilon$ can be recovered inside. We note here that $\epsilon$ need not be supported in $\Omega$, since the quantity $Q$ in (4.7) can still be recovered if $\epsilon|_{\partial \Omega}$ and its normal derivative $\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial n}$ are known at the boundary, according to (4.4).

With $Q$ as in (4.7), our results yield the following effect of the admittivity $\sigma + i\omega \epsilon$ on the complex voltage potential $u_\omega$:

$$
\sigma \rightarrow \Re(u_\omega),
Q[\sigma, \epsilon] \rightarrow \Im(u_\omega).
$$

In other words, while the real part of the complex potential is influenced mainly by the conductivity $\sigma$, the imaginary part is influenced by the function $Q[\sigma, \epsilon]$.

There are infinitely many pairs $\sigma, \epsilon$ which yield the same quantity $Q$ in (4.7). More precisely, let $Q \in L^1(\Omega)$ be in the range of the combination in (4.7). For an arbitrary $f \in C^1(\Omega)$, let $\sigma_f$ be any solution of the transport equation

$$
\nabla \ln \sigma_f \cdot \nabla f = Q - \Delta f.
$$

Then the pair $(\sigma_f, \epsilon)$ with $\epsilon = f \sigma_f$ yields the same $Q$, independent of $f$.

If the actual value of $\sigma$ at the boundary is not known, since $Q[\lambda \sigma, \lambda \epsilon] = Q[\sigma, \epsilon]$ for any $\lambda > 0$, the recovered quantity is not sensitive to the contrast in the pair of coefficients. However, the boundary data $D$ can distinguish the difference in scale between the conductivity $\sigma$ and the permittivity $\epsilon$ since $Q[\sigma, \lambda \epsilon] = \lambda Q[\sigma, \epsilon]$.

In practice the angular frequency $\omega$ is not arbitrarily small. However, due the scaling $\gamma = \sigma + i(\omega)\delta\epsilon$, we apply the results above to $t \omega$, with $t$ small. This scaling makes meaningful at angular frequencies of up to a few kHz, where the scaling factor is the permittivity of the vacuum $\epsilon_0 = 8.8 \times 10^{-12} F/m$, since then $\omega \epsilon_0$ is still numerically small.

From a numerical perspective, the equations (3.13) show a difference in scale (of order 1) between the real and imaginary part of the complex voltage potential at small frequency (or $\epsilon|_{\partial \Omega}$ as explained above). They imply that $D$ is approximated at $O(\omega^2)$ by the difference quotient at two small frequencies without a need to distinguish the real from the imaginary part of the voltage potential.

Following from (1.7), in fdEIT it is the quotient $\frac{\epsilon|_{\partial \Omega}}{\sigma|_{\partial \Omega}}$ at the boundary, which scales the boundary information about the admittivity inside. In particular, when $\epsilon|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$ it is only the imaginary part of the voltage potential which carries the information about the coefficients from inside to the frequency differential data at the boundary. In such a case we can still expect to recover the quantity $Q$ in (4.7).

While the formulated problem is still severely ill-posed, these theoretical results are expected to help understanding the quantitative feature of fdEIT.
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